

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: GOOGLE DIGITAL ADVERTISING  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

*AIM Media Ind. Operating, LLC v. Google, LLC*,  
No. 1:21-cv-06912-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*AIM Media Midwest Operating, LLC v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-06884-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*AIM Media Tex. Operating, LLC v. Google, LLC*,  
No. 1:21-cv-06888-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Brown Cnty. Publ'g Co., Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No.  
1:21-cv-06915-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Capital Region Indep. Media LLC v. Google LLC*,  
No. 1:22-cv-06997-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Clarksburg Publ'g Co., d/b/a WV News v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-06840-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Coastal Point LLC v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21- cv-  
06824-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Eagle Printing Co. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21- cv-  
06881-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*ECENT Corp. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv- 06817-  
PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Emmerich Newspapers, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No.  
1:21-cv-06794-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Flag Publ'ns, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-  
06871-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Gale Force Media, LLC v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-  
cv-06909-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Gould Enters., Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:22-cv-  
01705-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*HD Media Co., LLC v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-  
06796-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Journal Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-06828-  
PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Neighborhood Newspapers, Inc. v. Google LLC*,

Case No. 1:20-cv-03010 (PKC)

No. 1:21-cv-10188-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Robinson Commc'ns, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-08032-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Rome News Media, LLC v. Google LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-10186-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Savannah Publ'g Co. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:22-cv-01693-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Something Extra Publ'g, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-09523-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Southern Cmty. Newspapers, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:22-cv-01971-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Times Journal, Inc. v. Google LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-10187-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Union City Daily Messenger, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:22-cv-01704-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

*Weakley Cnty. Press, Inc. v. Google, LLC*, No. 1:22-cv-01701-PKC (S.D.N.Y.)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING NEWSPAPER PLAINTIFFS'**  
**MOTION TO SEAL**

The Court, having reviewed the relevant submissions and determined that the information sought to be redacted by Meta Platforms, Inc. is competitively sensitive and the interests in preventing competitive harm outweigh any applicable presumption of access, *see Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga*, 435 F.3d 110, 120 (2d Cir. 2006), hereby:

ORDERS that the Newspaper Plaintiffs file a public version of their proposed Amended Complaint that redacts information highlighted in Exhibit A to Meta Platform, Inc.'s Response in Support of the Newspaper Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal, and that the unredacted proposed Amended Complaint be filed under seal.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE P. KEVIN CASTEL  
United States District Judge